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Abstract Objective: To report on Thermablate EAS, the newest endometrial thermal balloon ablation system now available. Design: Thermablate EAS consists of a lightweight reusable hand-held treatment control unit (TCU) with a single use disposable catheter-balloon-cartridge system. Treatment time is <2.5 min. A 6.0-mm diameter catheter allows it to be used in an office or outpatient setting utilizing minimal anesthesia/analgesia. Results: Six-month follow-up data obtained under a Special Access Program for Health Canada in a series of 54 patients showed amenorrhea 20%, spotting 20%, hypomenorrhea 37%, eumenorrhea 16%, and persisting menorrhagia 6%. Conclusion: Thermablate EAS is the smallest, most portable, and simplest endometrial ablation presently available. High rates of clinical success and patient satisfaction combined with enthusiastic acceptance by clinicians of this compact device makes it a very attractive endometrial ablation system.
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Introduction

Menorrhagia affects about 20% of all pre-menopausal women and is the leading cause of iron-deficiency anemia [1]. Failure of this problem to respond to conventional medical therapy has often led to hysterectomy with many structurally normal uteri being removed for dysfunctional uterine bleeding [2].

In the 1980s hysteroscopic endometrial ablation was introduced as a minimally invasive alternative to hysterectomy. In the past decade, a second generation of endometrial ablation systems evolved utilizing different energy sources such as hot liquid systems, microwave, electrosurgical, radiofrequency, laser, or cryotherapy [3]. Balloon systems include TheraChoice (Ethicon, Somerville, N.J.), Cavaterm (Walsten Medical, Morges, Switzerland), and MenoTreat (Atos Medical AB, Hörby, Sweden). On 21 May 2003 Thermablate EAS (MDMI Technologies, Richmond, BC, Canada) was approved for sale in Canada with prior approval by the State Drug Administration in China. Approval for sale in Europe with Conformity European (CE) marking is imminent.

Device description

The Thermablate EAS consists of a light weight (approximately 700 g) reusable hand-held treatment control unit (TCU) with a single use disposable catheter-balloon-cartridge system (Fig. 1).

The single use cartridge is pre-filled with a proprietary biocompatible liquid that can safely be heated to temperatures in excess of 170°C. The disposable cartridge has a bayonet connector that forms an airtight seal to the TCU. An insulated 6-mm-diameter catheter connects the liquid reservoir to the soft, flexible tipped preformed silicone balloon which makes full contact with the endometrial cavity transferring the thermal energy to the endometrial lining and underlying myometrium (Fig. 2).

The TCU has treatment temperature, time, and pressure automatically controlled by a microprocessor which operates the electro-mechanical heating and pumping-draining systems. A liquid crystal display (LCD) provides pertinent information and instructions to the user. When turned on it takes approximately 8 min for the system to reach its operating temperature of 173°C during which time patient preparation including a paracervical block
can be administered. The device can remain in the treatment-ready state for 35 min before it automatically shuts down (Fig. 3).

When the heat up phase is completed a “Ready for Treatment” prompt is clearly displayed on the LCD along with a green light and an audible beep, indicating that the treatment can be initiated. Following insertion of the pre-lubricated balloon into the endometrial cavity, the treatment trigger is depressed for 5 s ending with an audible beep indicating that the system has been activated and the treatment cycle initiated. A 15-s balloon leak check is automatically carried out following which the hot liquid is pumped through the catheter into the balloon.

During treatment the TCU performs a series of pressurization and depressurization cycles to homogenize the temperature of the liquid in the balloon ensuring uniformity of energy and treatment at the balloon surface. Automatic adjustment of the pressure to 180 mm Hg is done every 10 s with the total treatment time being 128 s (2 min 8 s). Tissue necrosis to a uniform depth of 4–5 mm into the myometrium has been demonstrated in prehysterectomy studies. Balloon design provides lesser penetration of approximately 2 mm depth in both the cornual and internal cervical os areas. At the conclusion of treatment, the liquid is automatically withdrawn from the balloon into the canister which is then removed from the endometrial cavity and disposed. The higher temper-

Clinical trials evaluation

Pre-hysterectomy studies

Phase-I safety trial studies to establish time, temperature, and pressure profiles were carried out on seven patients each at Delta Hospital, Vancouver, BC, and University Hospital, Monterrey, Mexico. Histologic examination of the exirpated uteri confirmed that the most satisfactory results were obtained with a starting liquid temperature of 173°C and a treatment time of 128 s (2 min 8 s). Initial pressurization at 200 mm Hg then automatically adjusts to 180 mm Hg. The pressure is regulated every 10 s throughout the procedure by altering the required volume of liquid pumped into the balloon. Using these parameters tissue necrosis to a uniform depth of 4–5 mm into the myometrium was obtained and was confirmed with vital staining using nitroblue tetrazolium (Fig. 4)

Study in Bombay, India

A phase-II pilot study was carried out in Bombay, India, and has previously been reported. Sixteen women ranging in age from 34–48 years (mean age 41 years) were treated. At 6 months, follow-up showed 8 of 16 women reported amenorrhea (50%), 6 of 16 (38%) had hypomenorrhea or spotting, 1 had normal periods, and 1 failed
Fig. 4 Pre-treated hysterectomy specimen showing extent of tissue necrosis. (Courtesy of J. Gutz-Leal, University Hospital, Monterey, Mexico)

treatment; the latter had cystic endometrial hyperplasia which was documented on endometrial biopsy 2 weeks before therapy and was confirmed by hysteroscopy at the time of therapy. These results have remained unchanged at 1 and 2 years (pers. commun.).

These women had also experienced dysmenorrhea; mild=8, moderate=2, and severe=6. Following Thermablate treatment only one woman reported persistent mild dysmenorrhea. The overall satisfaction rate was 94% (15 of 16 women) with 11 women being very satisfied and 5 women satisfied [4].

### Canadian studies

Prior to Canadian approval to market the Thermablate system in May 2003, a series of patients were treated under the Special Access Program of the Device Evaluation Division of the Therapeutic Products Directorate for Health Canada. From 26 September 2002 through 28 April 2003, 54 patients were approved for use of the device. Treatment was carried out by ten gynecologists in six university-affiliated and community hospitals.

Patient profiles were similar to those of other ablation studies. The mean age was 41.5 years (range 33–54 years). Four patients were nulliparous and two had four children, with seven not recorded. The remainder each had one to three children. Two patients each had one, two, and three cesarean deliveries, respectively, for a total of 12 cesarean births in the 56 patients.

No pretreatment using GnRH analogs, other medications, or timing in the cycle was carried out. Various medical conditions included morbid obesity (BMI>40 kg/m²), sleep apnea, previous history of arterial and venous thrombosis, hypertension with paroxysmal atrial tachycardia, and unsuitability or refusal for general anesthesia or other ablation techniques.

Anesthesia included the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General anesthesia</td>
<td>21 of 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous sedation (IVS)</td>
<td>14 of 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracervical block (PCB)</td>
<td>17 of 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVS and PCB</td>
<td>2 of 54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sixteen patients (30%) were treated in an office or outpatient setting with no anesthesiologist present. There were no intra- or post-operative complications reported.

Patients were discharged within 4–6 h after ablation and required minimal postoperative analgesia. No readmissions to hospital occurred.

Follow-up results in 49 patients at 6 months (5 patients lost to follow-up) were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amenorrhea</td>
<td>10 of 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing</td>
<td>10 of 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypomenorrhea</td>
<td>18 of 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eumenorrhea</td>
<td>8 of 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menorrhagia</td>
<td>3 of 49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the 3 patients with unsatisfactory results, one had a vaginal hysterectomy which showed a 134-g uterus with the cavity showing fibrosis and hyalinization and only scant focal residual atrophic endometrium; two others are awaiting hysterectomy.

These preliminary results were presented at the 32nd Annual Meeting of the American Association of Gynecological Laparoscopists [5].

### Discussion

Hysteroscopic ablation has resulted in high success rates of 75–100% (mean 85%) [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. These methods are skill dependent, require intensive training and expertise, and are not free of complications such as perforation, hemorrhage, visceral injury, and excessive fluid overload absorption.

Thermal balloon ablation requires minimal training and is easy to perform. Low rates (2–4%) of minor problems, such as post-operative infection or hematoma formation, were initially reported [12, 13]. Recently, more serious complications, such as bowel and other thermal injuries, have also been reported in second-generation endometrial ablation systems [14].

Correct patient selection has been stressed to ensure good results [15]. A large uterus, active pelvic infection, evidence of malignant or pre-malignant changes, and the desire to maintain fertility are absolute contraindications. The presence of myomas, especially submucous and intramural >3 cm, or the suspicion of adenomyosis, are likely to reduce success [16]. Rates of success with thermal balloon ablation have paralleled other ablation techniques with 80–90% patient satisfaction expected [17, 18]. Long-term follow-up studies on balloon ablation continue to show highly satisfactory outcomes [19, 20].
Conclusion

Thermablate EAS is the smallest, most portable, and simplest endometrial ablation presently available. A short treatment time of <2.5 min and small (6-mm) diameter catheter allows it to be used in an office or outpatient setting using minimal anesthesia.

The Thermablate EAS is safe and effective in treating menorrhagia especially when other therapies are contraindicated or difficult to perform.

High rates of amenorrhea, spotting, hypomenorrhea, and patient satisfaction have been achieved, and enthusiastic acceptance by clinicians of this compact device makes it a very attractive endometrial ablation system.
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